

# CITY OF MARSHALL Public Improvement & Transportation Committee A g e n d a Tuesday, April 25, 2023 at 3:00 PM 344 W. Main St., City Hall

#### **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

#### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

1. Consider Approval of Minutes of the March 29, 2023 Meeting

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

- 2. Project AP-003: Airport Snow Removal Equipment (SRE) Building Consider Rejection of Bids
- 3. Discussion Regarding Status of Broadmoor Valley Grant-Funded Improvements

#### **ADJOURN**

Disclaimer: These agendas have been prepared to provide information regarding an upcoming meeting of the Common Council of the City of Marshall. This document does not claim to be complete and is subject to change.



## CITY OF MARSHALL AGENDA ITEM REPORT

Presenter:	Chair
Meeting Date:	Tuesday, April 25, 2023
Category:	APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Type:	ACTION
Subject:	Consider Approval of the Minutes
Background Information:	Enclosed are the minutes from the previous meeting.
Fiscal Impact:	
Alternative/ Variations:	Staff encourages Members to provide any suggested corrections to the minutes in writing to City Clerk, Steven Anderson, prior to the meeting.
Recommendations:	That the minutes from the meeting held on March 29, 2023, be approved as filed with each member and that the reading of the same be waived.

Item 1. Page 2

#### -UNAPPROVED-

# MINUTES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT/TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING March 29, 2023 11:00 AM

MEMBERS PRESENT: Schafer, Lozinski, Alcorn

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** None

**STAFF PRESENT:** Director of Public Works/City Engineer Jason Anderson, City Administrator Sharon

Hanson, Director of Administrative Services E.J. Moberg, Parks Superintendent

**Preston Stensrud** 

OTHERS PRESENT: None

#### Call to Order.

Schafer called the meeting to order at 11:08 am.

#### 1. Approval of the Minutes.

MOTION BY LOZINSKI, SECONDED BY ALCORN to approve the minutes of the February 14, 2023 Public Improvement/Transportation Committee meeting. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR. MOTION PASSED 3:0.

#### 2. Review Expenditures for Current 2023 and 2024 / Project ST-009 Scope.

Moberg indicated this would be a bonding discussion. Funding and bonding discussed for various projects with 2024 levy impacts. Schafer commented on the importance of finding ways to fund and finance projects and purchases with resources available and Council discussion versus departmental competition as well as for the benefit of constituents.

Anderson commented on how all street and utility reconstruction projects are in coordination with MMU. MMU is committed to replacing the watermain in our community and comprehensive analyses are done during planning process for all infrastructure.

Scope of N 3<sup>rd</sup> Street was discussed. String lighting options discussed. Cost of light poles is higher than discussed. Discussion was held regarding this project being a legacy project where it is more than just a reconstruction and is a once in a lifetime project. Schafer and Lozinski indicated the project will be funded as it has already been approved by City Council. Discussion was held regarding removal of string lighting pay item from the contract, but keeping all other plan elements in the contract.

LOZINSKI MADE A MOTION recommending the removal of just the string lighting and the string lighting poles but put the bones in with the foundation for future accommodation if that is possible. Discussion held on allowance of PI/T Committee to make this decision relating to this change of scope because the contractor is open to eliminating the pay item from their approved contract.

SCHAFER SECONDED THE MOTION to remove the posts for the string lighting but to have the foundations put in and to have Engineering work with MMU and to see about getting the bases adaptable to our conventional street lamp posts. Alcorn asked about how to address the one owner's interest in keeping the string lighting in the project, how do we approach that, and the removal of this will take away from the nostalgia part of the

project. Anderson proposed he call the property owner and indicate meeting was held regarding budget and discussion with the Council at a committee level and not completely committed in string lighting being an expense that has public participation. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR. MOTION PASSED 3:0.

Moberg went over what is still in the plan for bonding: parking lot upgrade for Ralco lot, Parks projects, W Lyon/North 3<sup>rd</sup>, SRE Building, Legion Field Stormwater project-Phase II. Pulled out Helena and Lyon Circle reconstruction. Based on those projects, it would be an approximate 5.7% levy impact based on the current bonding projections.

Lozinski inquired about overage of estimate of the SRE Building. Anderson indicated increases from the initial phases of the project and Council for authorization of bids. Lozinski asked about delaying the project a year and the impact. Anderson indicated \$150,000 loss in FAA entitlements. We get \$150,000/year, but can only store the money for four years. We have loaned out two years in a row. TKDA/City has worked really hard to work with FAA and MnDOT-Aeronautics regarding grant agreements. Upon receipt of bids, Council has the right to reject any and all bids.

Moberg gave a summary of bonding scenarios and funding sources.

### 3. <u>Project ST-009 North 3rd Street & West Lyon Street Reconstruction Project - Bolton & Menk Request for Additional Payment.</u>

City staff would like to discuss the request of Bolton & Menk, Inc. for additional payment for engineering and landscape architect services related to design of the project. BMI identifies numerous items where they believe cost fell outside of their original scope of work. Their actual sunk costs into the project work out to roughly \$261,000, which is about \$83,000 over budget. They are seeking an additional \$49,340 above contract amount to cover costs that they incurred that were outside of their original project scope. Staff recommended to pay BMI for services rendered that were outside the scope of the original contract.

Anderson presented the items outside of original bid-streetscaping, surveying and items that were not specifically stated in original request. Original contract was a competitive selection process. Discussion was held regarding the validity of the charges. Committee generally felt that the request was too much and that some of the expenses should have been covered by BMI. Anderson will work with Bolton & Menk to review their request further.

MOTION BY LOZINSKI, SECONDED BY ALCORN that Anderson negotiate with BMI on prices of the change order. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR. MOTION PASSED 3:0.

#### 4. Consider Request from Ralco for ADA Parking Stalls and Marshall Tobacco Shop for 10-minute Parking.

Ralco has requested City Administration to consider the addition of ADA parking stalls on W College Drive adjacent to their new downtown building. They are requesting 2-3 stalls and they indicate that this has been requested by their event space users. City staff believe that MnDOT would approve a request by the City to sign stalls in this manner. Anderson presented the item. Ralco's situation identified two spots-Main/College and MS/College. Both are near a ramp in the sidewalk. On site review not done to determine if there is an existing sign or light pole to mount sign in addition to painting. Anderson noted that if the parking lot is reconstructed across the river and paving and striping on their behalf, they would have required ADA stalls in that lot. Lozinski asked regarding the stalls after E College Drive project. DOT approval would be required also. ADA requirements discussed.

MOTION BY LOZINSKI, SECONDED BY ALCORN to approve the two stalls for Ralco as presented. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR. MOTION PASSED 3:0.

Marshall Tobacco Shop has been informed by MnDOT that they will be losing all parking on the block of E. College Drive next to their business when the reconstruction project is completed in 2025-2026. This is concerning for Marshall Tobacco Shop because their patrons are typically in and out very quickly and the loss of these stalls near them is an issue for them. They requested 10- or 15-minute parking stalls in the Addison Parking Lot. City staff advised that we would discuss the request for dedicated short duration parking in the Addison Lot and/or a 10- or 15-minute parking stall on E. Main Street near their business.

MOTION BY ALCORN, SECONDED BY LOZINSKI to defer the Marshall Tobacco Shop for 10-minute parking as presented for two years until the 2025 College Drive Project takes place and bring before Council at that time.

#### Other Business.

No other business discussed.

#### Adjourn.

MOTION BY LOZINSKI, SECONDED BY SCHAFER to adjourn the meeting. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR. MOTION PASSED 3:0. Meeting adjourned at 12:40pm.

Respectfully submitted, Lona Rae Konold, Administrative Assistant



#### PI/T COMMITTEE – 04/25/2023 AGENDA ITEM REPORT

Presenter:	Jason Anderson
Meeting Date:	Tuesday, April 25, 2023
Category:	NEW BUSINESS
Туре:	ACTION
Subject:	Project AP-003: Airport Snow Removal Equipment (SRE) Building - Consider Rejection of Bids
Background Information:	The City of Marshall Public Works desires to construct a new Snow Removal Equipment (SRE) and Maintenance Equipment Storage Building at the Southwest Minnesota Regional Airport. The existing building does not adequately accommodate all airport maintenance equipment storage needs. The new facility is planned to be constructed on the East Building Area of the airport, as shown on the recently completed Airport Master Plan. The Project has been identified on the Airport CIP since FFY2011.  The following is the most recent timeline received from TKDA, the City's consultant: February 21st — TKDA to provide Ad for Bids, Estimate, and Plans and Specifications (99%) to the city for the council packet. February 28th — City Council approves advertising for bids March 1st — Post Plans and Specifications (100%) to QuestCDN April 3rd — Bid Opening April 11th — City Council conditionally accepts bid, and approves application for funding April 14th — Grant application to FAA and MnDOT Aeronautics August 1st — Award Construction Contract (120 days after bid opening) September — November 2023 — site improvements April 2024 — September 2024 — building construction (not to begin before April 1, 2024) October 2024 — final completion and occupancy  Historical reference for action items relating to the project: 03/23/2021 - Council authorized the first transfer of Airport Federal Entitlement Funds and authorized execution and filing of FAA Form 5100-110 for the transfer of \$150,000 of MML Airport 2021 Federal Entitlement Funds to Dodge Center, MN (TOB).  Background: Based on the timeline above, the City's consultant, TKDA, proposed that two entitlement transfers be performed, one in 2021 (Dodge Center, MN-TOB), and one in 2022 (Waseca, MN-ACQ), to ensure that those entitlements do not expire. These entitlement transfers will provide the City and TKDA with enough time to prepare the necessary pre-project planning documents to secure state aeronautics funding while also helping the City to secure enough FAA entitlement funds to facili
	Based on 03/23/2021 Council authorization of the first transfer of Airport Federal Entitlement Funds to Dodge Center, MN, the proposed timeline was presented as follows:  Phase 1 - 2021: Preliminary Design Study and Funding Plan  Phase 2 - 2022: Design and Site Preparation  Phase 3 - 2023: Construction

Item 2. Page 6

10/12/2021 - Council authorized acceptance of the Proposal with TKDA for a Predesign Study in the amount of \$35,000.00.

03/08/2022 - Council authorized the second transfer of Airport Federal Entitlement Funds and authorized execution and filing of FAA Form 5100-110 for the transfer of \$150,000 of MML Airport 2022 Federal Entitlement Funds to Waseca, MN (ACQ). (See 03/23/2021 regarding first transfer.)

04/26/2022 - Council authorized TKDA submittal of an FAA Grant Application in the amount of \$135,598.00. Total design cost in the request for grant funding was \$297,000.00. This total included \$7,000 in local project costs and \$35,000 reimbursement for the TKDA pre-design study.

05/10/2022 - City Council authorized acceptance of the Proposal with TKDA for Design Phase Services in the amount of \$255,000 with services under this proposal, contingent on receipt of the federal and State grant agreements.

08/15/2022 - FAA Grant Agreement 3-27-0056-019-2022 received and electronically signed by Mayor and City Attorney.

09/13/2022 - City Council acknowledgement of FAA Grant Agreement 3-27-0056-019-2022 for Funding of Site and Building Design in the amount of \$135,598.00.

09/27/2022 - Consider Resolution of Authorization to Execute MnDOT Grant Agreement No. 1051776 for funding of Site and Building Design in the amount of \$109,968.00.

10/20/2022 - Submission of 2023 Federal Grant Initiation Request to ensure eligible utilization of all Airport entitlements and indicating the project is planned to utilize both the airports AIP and AIG funding available in 2023. At the time of submission of Initiation Request, it was noted that the building design was just beginning, and the funding eligibility and prorates would be revisited prior to the grant application.

02/07/2023 – Most recent TKDA presentation of project to the Airport Commission. Commission member Ron Halgerson has served as the Airport Commission representative to the project to help review project plans and offer outside input to the project.

02/14/2023 - Project presented to Public Improvement/Transportation Committee for their information.

02/28/2023 - City Council authorization to advertise for bids.

04/03/2023 - Bids received – One bid was received from Sussner Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$4,346,000, which substantially exceeds the construction cost estimate of \$3,118,023 for construction costs. Total estimated project budget, including construction administration and special testing costs, was \$3,353,023. At the Airport Commission meeting on 04/04/2023, Airport Commission discussed and recommended a re-evaluation of the project scope, bidding requirements and potential cost control measures for the City's review/consideration.

If the Council elects to reject the bid received, it is recommended that City staff work with TKDA and the Airport Commission to re-evaluate the bid package to ensure that the project is economical. Staff would propose to seek City Council authorization to bid a re-evaluated project in late 2023 or early 2024.

Item 2. Page 7

Fiscal Impact:	Current project cost estimate is \$3,353,023, including construction administration and special inspections fees. Local cost participation with this estimate is roughly \$1,059,000.  Additional costs over the project estimate will fall largely upon the City of Marshall. A project that is \$1M over budget may result in local costs that are \$1M greater than originally anticipated. Our federal funding allotment is capped due to programmatic limitations placed upon the project by FAA funding policy. State funding is capped at \$1M annually by MnDOT Aeronautics policy. Additional State funding to help offset local costs is possible, but not guaranteed.  Further, a recommendation to reject bids will require the City to seek a transfer partner for expiring Federal AIP funds. City staff would work with TKDA to find a suitable airport to transfer \$150,000 in Federal AIP funds to ensure that we do not allow the funds to expire.
Alternative/ Variations:	No alternative actions recommended.
Recommendations:	PI/T Committee recommend to City Council to reject the bid received April 3, 2023 and authorize City staff to work with TKDA and the Airport Commission to re-evaluate the project AP-003 bid package to ensure the project is more economical.

Item 2.

# TKDA OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

컺		
DA	il Il Il	Delication of the last of the

Page 9

		Alternative" Construction Estimate	Opinion of Probable Costs - "Cost Reduction	Show Kellioval Equipment Facility	Chaw Damayol Faurinment Facility	City of Marshall, MN	Southwest Minnesota Regional Airport					
									PROJECT:			
Estimated Construction Cost	Const. Cost / Sq. Ft.:	Const. Cost / Sq. Ft.:	Construction Contingency	Construction Cost		Total Square Feet		Subtotal Square Feet	PROJECT: Marshall SRE		Date:	
\$3 430 023 including contingency	\$446.03 including cor	\$410.18 without cont	\$312,000	\$3,118,023		7,495		7,495			Date: February 21, 2023	
na contingenov	ing contingency	t contingency								Project No.: 18338.001	Prepared By: ADG	

Sections are classified according to the CSI MasterFormat system.

Southwe

		20000	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST
13.34	3.21%	\$100,000	DIVISION 33 - UTILITIES
41.78	10.04%	\$313,168	DIVISION 32 - EXTERIOR IMPROVEMENTS
6.00	1.44%	\$45,000	DIVISION 31 - EARTHWORK
7.61	1.83%	\$57,000	DIVISION 28 - ELECTRONIC SAFETY & SECURITY
1.52	0.37%	\$11,400	DIVISION 27 - COMMUNICATIONS
19.41	4.66%	\$145,450	DIVISION 26 - ELECTRICAL
27.13	6.52%	\$247,065	DIVISION 23 - HVAC
9.20	2.21%	\$68,963	DIVISION 22 - PLUMBING
5.14	1.23%	\$38,500	DIVISION 21 - FIRE SUPPRESSION
145.10	34.88%	\$1,087,500	DIVISION 13 - SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION
0.00	0.00%	\$0	DIVISION 12 - SPECIALTIES
0.00	0.00%	\$0	DIVISION 11 - EQUIPMENT
0.43	0.10%	\$3,200	DIVISION 10 - SPECIALTIES
6.33	1.52%	\$47,481	DIVISION 9 - FINISHES
14.71	3.54%	\$110,240	DIVISION 8 - OPENINGS
4.12	0.99%	\$30,900	DIVISION 7 - THERMAL AND MOISTURE PROTECTION
4.48	1.08%	\$33,600	DIVISION 6 - WOOD, PLASTICS, AND COMPOSITS
9.79	2.35%	\$73,400	DIVISION 5 - METALS
2.05	0.49%	\$15,360	DIVISION 4 - MASONRY
26.52	6.38%	\$198,796	DIVISION 3 - CONCRETE
1.60	0.38%	\$12,000	DIVISION 2 - EXISTING CONDITIONS
63.91	15.36%	\$479,000	DIVISION 1 - GENERAL REQUIREMENTS
SQ. FT	TOTAL	ITEM COST	SUMMARY
COST PER	- [[]		

Const. & Escalation Contingency

10.00%

\$312,000

Total Base Bid

\$3,118,023

Anticipated Construction Administration Fees Construction Special Inspection Fees SRE Building Furnishings

Total Opinion of Probable Project Costs

\$165,000 \$50,000 \$10,000 \$3,343,023

Total Construction Cost / Sq.Ft. Including site improvements and contingency

\$446.03

# PROJECT COST BREAKDOWN

SRE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AND SITE PREPARATION
SOUTHWEST MINNESOTA REGIONAL AIRPORT - MARSHALL (MML)
EFFIERAL EIGEN YEAR 2023 GRANT INTRATION BEQUIEST

									Subtotal C	Site Preparation/Utility Construction Subtotal Co Tr	Building Construction Site Preparation/Utility Constructio Subtotal C	3 CONSTRUCTION Building Construction Site Preparation/Utility Constructio Subtotal C	CONSTRUCTION Building Construction Site Preparation/Utility Constructic Subtotal	Construction Phase Engineering (Si Subtotal CONSTRUCTION Building Construction Site Preparation/Utility Construction Subtotal	Construction Phase Engineering (B) Construction Phase Engineering (S) Subtotal CONSTRUCTION Building Construction Site Preparation/Utility Constructic Subtotal	ENGINEERING  Construction Phase Engineering (B) Construction Phase Engineering (B) Construction Phase Engineering (S) Subtotal CONSTRUCTION Building Construction Site Preparation/Utility Constructic Subtotal (S)	ENGINEERING  Construction Phase Engineering (B)  Construction Phase Engineering (S)  Construction Phase Engineering (S)  Subtotal  CONSTRUCTION  Building Construction  Site Preparation/Utility Constructit  Subtotal	City Administration  Subtotal Ad  ENGINEERING  Construction Phase Engineering (8)  Construction Phase Engineering (Si  CONSTRUCTION  Building Construction  Site Preparation/Utility Construction  Subtotal  Site Preparation/Utility Construction  Subtotal (1)	City Administration Subtotal Ad ENGINEERING Construction Phase Engineering (8) Construction Phase Engineering (8) Construction Phase Engineering (8) Construction Phase Engineering (8) Construction Subtotal Site Preparation/Utility Construction Subtotal (9)	ADMINISTRATIVE Independent Fee Review City Administration Subtotal Ad ENGINEERING Construction Phase Engineering (8) Construction Phase Engineering (8) CONSTRUCTION Subtotal CONSTRUCTION Stite Preparation/Utility Construction Site Preparation/Utility Construction Subtotal	ADMINISTRATIVE Independent Fee Review City Administration Subtotal Ad ENGINEERING Construction Phase Engineering (8) Construction Phase Engineering (S) CONSTRUCTION Building Construction Site Preparation/Utility Construction Subtotal	ADMINISTRATIVE Independent Fee Review City Administration Subtotal Ad ENGINEERING Construction Phase Engineering (8) Construction Phase Engineering (8) Construction Phase Engineering (8) Subtotal CONSTRUCTION Building Construction Site Preparation/Utility Construction Subtotal	UNIT OF WORK  ADMINISTRATIVE Independent Fee Review City Administration Subtotal Ad ENGINEERING Construction Phase Engineering (B) Construction Phase Engineering (S) Subtotal CONSTRUCTION Building Construction Site Preparation/Utility Construction Subtotal
The second secon	Local Share from Overage to Local	0	Maximum Funding Amounts	Maximum Funding An	Project Funding Shares  Maximum Funding Amounts	Project Funding S Maximum Funding Ar	TOTAL COST \$ 3,353,0  Project Funding S  Maximum Funding An	TOTAL COST \$ 3,353,0  Project Funding S  Maximum Funding Ar	Subtotal Construction \$ 3,128,0  TOTAL COST \$ 3,353,0  Project Funding S  Maximum Funding An														
Overage to Local Share Local Share from Overage	are to local Chare	Funding Amounts			:t Funding Shares	Funding Shares	3,353,023.00 Funding Shares	3,353,023.00 Funding Shares	3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00 Funding Shares	468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00 3,353,023.00 Funding Shares	2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00 3,353,023.00	2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00 3,353,023.00	215,000.00 2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00 3,353,023.00	165,000.00 215,000.00 2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00 3,353,023.00 3,353,023.00	50,000.00 165,000.00 215,000.00 2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00 3,353,023.00 45,168.00	50,000.00 165,000.00 215,000.00 215,000.00 2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00 3,353,023.00	10,000.00  50,000.00  165,000.00  215,000.00  215,000.00  2,659,855.00  468,168.00  3,128,023.00  3,353,023.00  Funding Shares	4,000.00 10,000.00 50,000.00 165,000.00 215,000.00 215,000.00 2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,3353,023.00 Funding Shares	6,000.00 4,000.00 10,000.00 50,000.00 155,000.00 215,000.00 215,000.00 2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,333,023.00 Funding Shares	6,000.00 4,000.00 10,000.00 50,000.00 165,000.00 215,000.00 2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00 5,000.00 3,353,023.00	6,000.00 4,000.00 10,000.00 50,000.00 50,000.00 215,000.00 215,000.00 2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00	6,000.00 4,000.00 10,000.00 50,000.00 165,000.00 215,000.00 215,000.00 2,659,855.00 468,168.00 3,128,023.00 3,3353,023.00 3,353,023.00	COST 6,000.00 4,000.00 10,000.00 50,000.00 165,000.00 215,000.00 215,000.00 215,000.00 3,128,023.00 3,128,023.00 3,353,023.00 3,353,023.00
7 0 0	5 K			S			27.07% \$	27.07% \$	28.49% \$ 27.07% \$	0.00% \$ 28.49% \$ 27.07% \$	33.50% \$ 0.00% \$ 28.49% \$ 27.07% \$	33.50% \$ 0.00% \$ 28.49% \$ 27.07% \$	7.79% \$ 33.50% \$ 0.00% \$ 28.49% \$ 27.07% \$	0.00% \$ 7.79% \$ 33.50% \$ 0.00% \$ 28.49% \$ \$ 27.07% \$	33.50% \$ 7.79% \$ 7.79% \$ 9.00% \$ 7.79% \$ 23.50% \$ 23.49% \$ 27.07% \$	33.50% \$ 0.00% \$ 7.79% \$ 33.50% \$ 0.00% \$ 23.50% \$ 23.49% \$ 27.07% \$	0.00% \$ 33.50% \$ 0.00% \$ 7.79% \$ 33.50% \$ 23.40% \$ 22.49% \$ 3.50% \$	0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 33.50% \$ 0.00% \$ 7.79% \$ 33.50% \$ 28.49% \$ 27.07% \$	0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 33.50% \$ 7.79% \$ 5,000% \$ 28.49% \$ 27.07% \$	0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ \$ 0.00% \$ \$ 0.00% \$ \$ 33.50% \$ \$ 7.79% \$ \$ 7.79% \$ \$ 0.00% \$ \$ 28.49% \$ \$ 27.07% \$	0.00%     \$       0.00%     \$       0.00%     \$       0.00%     \$       0.00%     \$       0.00%     \$       7.79%     \$       33.50%     \$       7.79%     \$       28.49%     \$       27.07%     \$	AIP ELIGIBLE AIP PERCENTAGE*  0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ \$ 0.00% \$ \$ 0.00% \$ \$ 0.00% \$ \$ 7.79% \$ \$ 0.00% \$ \$ \$ 0.00% \$ \$ \$ 0.00% \$ \$ 0.00	## AAA AIP ELIGIBLE   AIP PERCENTAGE*   \$   0.00%   \$   0.00%   \$   0.00%   \$   33.50%   \$   7.79%   \$   33.50%   \$   28.49%   \$   27.07%   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$
\$ 764,40 \$ 52,61				24.37%		1	907,801.43 \$ 817,02															BLE F - \$ - \$ 50.00 \$ 50.00 \$ 50.00 \$ 51.43 \$ 51.43 \$ 51.43 \$	
764,402.00 52,619.28	24.37% 764,402.00	24.37%	24.37%	The second secon	П	817,021.28 19.18%			801,946.28 14.97%												75.00 75.00 76.28 76.28	5.00 5.00 6.28	5.00 5.00 6.28
						\$ 643,168.00			\$ 468,168.00	\$ 468, \$ 468,	\$ 468, \$ 468,	\$ 468, \$ 468,	\$ 165, \$ 468, \$ 468,	\$ 165, \$ 165, \$ 468, \$ 468,	\$ 165, \$ 165, \$ 468, \$ 468,	\$ 165, \$ 165, \$ 165, \$ 468, \$ 468,	\$ 10, \$ 165, \$ 165, \$ 168, \$ 468,	\$ 10, \$ 165, \$ 165, \$ 468, \$ 468,	\$ 6, \$ 4, \$ 10, \$ 165, \$ 168, \$ 468, \$ 468,	\$ 4, \$ 10, \$ 165, \$ 165, \$ 468, \$ 468,	COS1 \$ 6, \$ 4, \$ 105, \$ 165, \$ 468, \$ 468,	AIG ELIG  COS1  \$ 6, \$ 4, \$ 10, \$ 105, \$ 165, \$ 165, \$ 468, \$ 468,	FAA AIG (BIL)  AIG ELIG  COST  \$ 6, \$ 4, \$ 10, \$ 105, \$ 165, \$ 468, \$ 468, \$ 468,
\$ 587,000.00				17.26%		0 \$ 578,851.20			0 \$ 421,351.20								<u> </u>						
				0.00%		-			\$	<b>w</b> w	w w w	<b>w</b> w w	w w w w	<b>w</b> w w w	<b>w</b> w w w w	w w w w w	w w w w w w	w w w w w w	w w w w w w w	W W W W W W W W	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	STATE AIP 0.00 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
\$ 261,437.50		200000		37.62%		\$ 1,261,437.50			\$ 1,238,162.50	\$ 1,238,162.50				23,275.00 1,238,162.50 1,238,162.50	23,275.00 23,275.00 1,238,162.50 1,238,162.50 1,238,162.50	23,275.00 - 23,275.00 1,238,162.50 - 1,238,162.50	23,275.00 23,275.00 23,275.00 1,238,162.50 1,238,162.50	23,275.00 23,275.00 23,275.00 1,238,162.50	23,275.00 23,275.00 23,275.00 1,238,162.50	23,275.00 23,275.00 23,275.00 1,238,162.50	70.0%	\$ 70.0% \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 23,275.00 \$ 23,275.00 \$ 23,275.00 \$ 1,238,162.50 \$ 1,238,162.50	STATE 70.0%  \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 23,275.00 \$ 23,275.00 \$ 1,238,162.50 \$ 1,238,162.50
\$ 314,056.79		_		20.75%		0 \$ 695,713.02			45	<b>*</b>	<b>w</b> w	W W W	w w w	<b>*</b> * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	<b>*</b> * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	www www	w w w w w	www www	<u> </u>	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			www www www

<sup>\*</sup>FAA funding eligibility subject to change

Notes:

1) AIP Eligible Percentage capped at percentage shown to keep AIP share within the available entitlement amount.

2) No State AIP Match shown since state amount exceeds \$400,000 on AIP ineligible, in accordance with the Airport Funding Rates Letter for State FY 2023.

3) City may request an exemption to the \$1.0M state limit on project funding, in accordance with the Airport Funding Rates Letter for State FY 2023.



## CITY OF MARSHALL PI/T AGENDA ITEM REPORT

Category: NE	w BUSINESS TION
sauger j.	
Type: AC	TION
Subject: Dis	cussion Regarding Status of Broadmoor Valley Grant-Funded Improvements
Information:  implementation:  implement	Iton & Menk (BMI) has been working with Broadmoor Valley ownership to design a roadway provement project to be completed using remaining MN Housing grant funds. City staff has en informed that Broadmoor has roughly \$350,000 in grant funds to use for making park provements. Park ownership has elected to use the funds to improve the condition of their eets. BMI staff has indicated that park ownership would like to minimize street width that baved to help ensure that they are able to maximize the amount of street paving. Currently, by are proposing to remove the 3-FT sidewalks that are located on both sides of the streets of to reconstruct their park roads to be 20-FT bituminous paving with an inverted crown to strange stormwater runoff. In addition, they are proposing gravel shoulders to help provide a der hard surface.  Your Ordinance currently requires 28-FT of roadway width for private roads. In addition, our anufactured home park ordinance requires sidewalk. Park ownership is adamant that they enot required to follow city zoning ordinance requirements in the portion of their park that endated our zoning ordinance. While city staff does not agree with this as it relates to constructed road and sidewalk areas, that is the contention of park ownership.  Y staff is looking for discussion with the PI/T Committee regarding the City's position on wed roadway width and sidewalks in manufactured home parks. If it is the desire of the uncil to accommodate the narrower paved road width for park roads, staff believes that the y Council should consider some ordinance amendments to allow for the narrower paved dith.
Fiscal Impact: No	ne at this time.
Alternative/ No Variations:	alternative actions recommended.
	off is looking for a recommendation from PI/T Committee regarding minimum street width d sidewalks in our manufactured home parks.

Item 3. Page 11